2021 Jun-01 PM 04:52 U.S. DISTRICT COURT N.D. OF ALABAMA

## Exhibit 310



## Deposition of: CONF H. E. Frech, III, Ph.D., Non-time stamped

May 7, 2019

In the Matter of:

## Blue Cross Blue Shield Antitrust Litigation/in Re

Freedom Court Reporting

800.808.4958 | calendar-freedom@veritext.com | 205-397-2397

Page 152 the Local Best Efforts rule; correct? 1 2. Α. Correct. 3 Ο. Okay. And after you state that, you then do not mention at all in this section 4 regarding the injunction classes, the propriety 5 of certifying a class related to the Best 6 7 Efforts rules, do you? Well, I think it's -- I think 8 Α. 9 it's implicit in 391, paragraph 391, that that was -- an injunction would constrain the 10 11 anticompetitive agreements and reduce harm to 12 providers in the future. 13 Q. But you don't specifically mention the Best Efforts rule in that 14 15 paragraph, do you? Not in that paragraph. But it's 16 17 obviously referring to the paragraph before. 18 Q. You've done no empirical analysis to determine whether a provider suffered common 19 20 harm related to the Best Efforts rules? 21 Not specifically. That's -- You 2.2 mean quantitatively. Yeah. Not quantitatively, specifically. 23 And you offered no specific 24 Ο. 25 opinion on the common impact, if any, of the